

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,436	06/09/2006	Nobuaki Arai	503038.117525	4861
29540 DAY PITNEY	7590 04/02/201 LLP	0	EXAMINER	
7 TIMES SQUARE			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ladams@daypitney.com rschneider@daypitney.com tbomzer@daypitney.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/582,436 ARAI, NOBUAKI

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TUYEN NGUYEN	2832					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTHED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply well be up to the set of the se							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Dt 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.9 and 11 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cacepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🖾 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) 🖾 All b) 🗆 Some * c) 🗀 None of: 1. 🖾 Certified copies of the priority document: 2. ☐ Cortified copies of the priority document: 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information-Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ite					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/582,436

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of embodiment 1, figure 1, claims 1-6 in the reply filed on 6/5/2009 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al. [US 5,034,854] in view of Hirohashi et al. [JP 2002-033226.]

Matsumura et al. discloses a transformer [figures 8-9] comprising:

- coils [39, 42];
- core [38a, 38b];
- a case [43] enclosing the coils and the core; and
- resin [49] filled the case and hardened.

Matsumura et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the case being covered with tape at removed side faces.

Hirohashi et al. discloses a transformer having a structure in which a transformer body/assembly [3, 5] is accommodated in a case/frame [2] having a rectangular-parallelepiped shaped, the case/frame is filled with resin/filler, and the resin/filler is hardened, wherein the case has one open face for accommodating the transformer

Application/Control Number: 10/582,436

Art Unit: 2832

body/assembly [3, 5], side faces located at border of opening are partially removed [2a, 2b], and tape [6] is attached to cover the removed areas.

It would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include tape covering the removed area of Matsumura et al., as suggested by Hirohashi et al., for the purpose of simplifying manufacturing.

Regarding claims 2-3, Hirohashi et al. inherently discloses at least one of the side faces is partially removed at the removed area, wherein the removed area located so as to leave edge areas other than edge areas of the side faces, close to the opening.

Regarding claim 5, Matsumura et al. discloses the core/coil assembly [3, 5] being coated with filler/resin.

Regarding claim 4, the specific arrangement/winding of the tape would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of providing strength to the casing/frame.

Regarding claim 6, the specific of the tape would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of improving adhesion.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TUYEN T NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2832